stances like CO<sub>2</sub>. However, there is no doubt that the assessment is required to include as many substances as possible, such as chemical substances. There are no methods in Japan that are available for more than one hundred substances. Consequently, it is important to develop the method that is applicable for most environmental loading substances, as far as possible.

Internationally, impact assessment is now in a developing stage. Subjective judgement by the developers of procedures or LCA practitioners will unavoidably be introduced in weighting process and it would take time to meet a consensus to a certain degree. Currently, the improvement of transparency and reliability, and correspondence with an inventory database are quite essential in Japan and studies are required for the development of the advanced methodology of assessment for environmental impacts considering the problems noted above.

## References

AKAI, M. (1999): Economic Valuation in LCA. Potential in Supporting Decision Making. Proceedings of EcoDesign '99: First International Symposium on Environmentally Conscious Design and Inverse Manufacturing, February 1999, Tokyo, Japan, 802-805

Beltrani, G. (1997): Safeguard Subjects: The Conflict Between Operationalization and Ethical Justification. Int. J. LCA 2 (1) 45-51

Consoli, F.; Allen, D.; Boustead, I.; Fava, J.; Franklin, W.; Jensen, A.; Oude, N.; Parrish, R.; Perriman, R.; Postlethwaite, D.; Quay, B.; Séguin, J.; Vigon, B.(1993): Guideline for Life-Cycle Assessment: 'A Code of Practice'. From the SETAC Workshop held at Sesimbra

FINKBEINER, M.; SAUR, K.; KREISSIG, J.; HERRMANN, C. (1999): LCI for Photocopier, Contribution to JEMAI's Project, Comparing LCA Approaches

GOEDKOOP, M. (1995): The Eco-indicator 95. Final report and manual for designers, Amersfoort

GOEDKOOP, M.; HOFFSTETTER, P.; MÜLLER-WENK, R.; SPRIEMSMA, R. (1998): The Eco-Indicator 98 Explained. Int. J. LCA 3 (6) 352-360 HEIJUNGS, R.; GUINÉE, J.B.; HUPPES, G.; LANKREIJER, R.M.; UDO DE HAES,

H.A.; Sleeswijk, A. (1992): Environmental Life Cycle Assessment of Products Backgrounds
HOFSTETTER, P. (1996): Towards a Structured Aggregation Procedure.

In Braunschweig et al. (1996) 122-211
ISO14040 (1997): Environmental Management – Life Cycle Assessment – Principles and Framework

ISO/DIS14042 (1998): Environmental Management. Life Cycle Assessment. Life Cycle Impact Assessment

Itsubo, N.; Matsuno, Y.; Inaba, A.; Yamamoto, R. (1998): Environmental Impact Assessment for Materials Produced in Japan. Proceedings of The Third International Conference on EcoBalance, pp. 375-378

ITSUBO, N.; YAMAMOTO, R. (1999): Application of Life Cycle Assessment to Manufacturing of Nonferrous Metals. J. Japan Inst. Metals 63 (2) 208-214

JAGER, D.T. et al.: EUSES the European Union System for the Evaluation of Substances. National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), The Netherlands; available from the European Chemicals Bureau (EC/JRC), Ispra, Italy

LINDEIJER, E. (1996): Normalisation and Valuation. In Udo de Haes (1996) 75-93

MATSUNO, Y.; INABA, A.; BETZ, M. (1998 a): Valuation of Electricity Grid Mixes in Japan with Application of Life-Cycle Impact Assessment Methodology. Proceedings of The Third International Conference on EcoBalance, pp. 97-100

MATSUNO, Y.; INABA, A.; ITSUBO, N.; YAMAMOTO, R. (1998 b): Development of Life Cycle Impact Assessment Weighting Methodology for Japan. Weighting Methodology Based on the Distance-to-Target Method. Journal of the Japan Institute of Energy, 1139-1147

McKone, T.E. (1993): CalTOX - A Multimedia Total-Exposure Model for Hazardous-Wastes Sites Part I: Executive Summary, prepared for the State of California, Department Toxic Substances Control, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, UCRL-CR-111456PtI

Nagata, K.; Yokota, R.; Ureshino, M.; Maeno, T. (1996): Development on Valuation Method of LCA. 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on EcoBalance, pp. 161-164

Stehen, B. (1996): Swedish Environmental Research Institute, IVL, Göteborg, EPS-Default Valuation of Environmental Impacts from Emission and Use of Resources Version 1996

UDO DE HAES, H.A.; JOLLIET, O.; FINNVEDEN, G.; HAUSCHILD, M.; KREWITT, W.; MULLER-WENK, R. (1999): Best Available Practice Regarding Impact Categories and Category Indicators in Life Cycle Impact Assessment. Background Document for the Second Working Group on Life cycle Impact Assessment of SETAC-Europe (WIA-2). Int. J. LCA 4 (2)

UDO DE HAES, H.A. et al. (1996): Towards a Methodology for Life Cycle Impact Assessment. SETAC-Europe, Brussels

Yasu, I. (1998): A new Scheme of Life Cycle Impact Assessment Method Based on the Consumption of Time. Proceedings of The Third International Conference on EcoBalance, pp.89-92

> Received: November 8th, 1999 Accepted: December 12th, 1999 Online Publication: December 16th, 1999



## The Fourth International Conference on EcoBalance

Methodologies for Decision Making in a Sustainable 21st Century

October 31 – November 2, 2000 Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan International Congress Center "Epochal Tsukuba" Conference web site: http://www.sntt.or.jp

## Conference Secretariat:

Ms. Shoko Tsuda

The Society of Non-Traditional Technology
Kotohira Kaikan Bldg. 3F

1-2-8 Toranomon, Minato-ku, Tokyo, 105-0001 Japan
T: +81-3-3503-4681; F: +81-3-3597-0535
e-mail: mitoh@snet.sntt.or.jp